

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,949	12/30/2003		Sriram Natarajan	CISCP848 7877	
26541 Cindy S. Kapla	ın	7/16/2007	)7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 244		MUI, GARY			
SARATOGA, CA 95070				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2616	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/748,949	NATARAJAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary Mui	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THE OF T	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 D	<u> Pecember 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	l.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 December 2003</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ts have been received in Applica	ation No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been recei	ived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	, ,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	<del></del>	(200					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) 💹 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		l Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

# DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 9 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For claims 9 - 16, the claims are directed to a computer program per se, which is nonstatutory subject matter. The claim recites a computer program product, which is not a "computer readable medium" and which is not stored with, embodied with, or encoded with, "computer executable instructions" and thus will not be able to carry out the functionality of the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 7 10, 12, and 15 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 4. anticipated by Book (US 5,894,481).

For claims 1, 9, 17, and 18, Book teaches locally generating ready indications for the plurality of local Fibre Channel ports; distributing transmission of the ready indications among the Application/Control Number: 10/748,949 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

local Fibre Channel ports responsive to a desired bandwidth sharing (see column 3 lines 29 – 44 and column 5 lines 39 – 52; in a Fibre Channel switch with multiple ports command signals are sent about the readiness of the port).

For claims 2 and 10, Book teaches the ready indications are generated locally within the transport interface (see column 7 liens 1 - 14).

For claims 4 and 12, Book teaches controlling transmission of the ready indications to the local Fibre Channel ports responsive to availability of buffer space at a remote transport interface (see column 7 lines 1 - 14).

For claims 7 and 15, Book teaches the desired bandwidth sharing comprises a default equal sharing of bandwidth among the local Fibre Channel ports (see column 5 lines 20 - 52).

For claims 8 and 16, Book teaches receiving input selecting the desired bandwidth sharing (see column 5 lines 20 - 52).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2, 5, 6, 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Book in view of Bergamasco et al. (US 2004/0027987 A1).

For claims 2 and 11, Book teaches all of the claimed subject matter with the exception of receiving a buffer credit value from a first one of said local Fibre Channel ports; modifying said buffer credit value responsive to buffer space within said transport interface; and transmitting said modified buffer credit value to a remote Fibre Channel port via a transport network. Bergamasco et al. from the same field of endeavor teaches a credit based flow control where credit information associated with the first network node is modified based on the number of frames forwarded. An extended receiver ready signal is provided to the first network node. The extended receiver ready signal includes credit information indicating to the first network node that a plurality of buffers are available to receive transmissions from the first network node (see paragraphs 0007 and 0008). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to perform credit based

flow control as taught by Bergamasco et al. into Fibre channel switch of Book. The

Page 5

motivation for doing this is to increase the reliability of the system.

For claims 5 and 13, Book teaches relaying traffic from the plurality of local Fiber Channel

ports to a plurality of remote Fibre Channel ports via a transport network (see column 1 lines

18 - 30 and column 6 lines 1 - 20; channels provide communication between devices of one

port to another and sending out a busy signal for the communication line). Book fails to teach

combined maximum data rates of Fibre Channel links terminated by said plurality of Fibre

Channel ports through said transport network exceeds bandwidth available via said transport

network. Bergamasco et al. from the same field of endeavor teaches that in Fibre channels

congestion when there is a large amount of bandwidth being used and will control the traffic

flow form switch to switch (see paragraph 0027 and 0028). Therefore, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to analyze the

bandwidth of the network for congestion as taught by Bergamasco et al. and to send this

information along on the busy signal in the Fibre channel switch of Book. The motivation for

doing this is to maintain an effective network.

For claims 6 and 14, Book et al. teaches suppressing relaying of ready indications from said

plurality of remote Fibre Channel ports to said plurality of local Fibre Channel ports (see

column 6 lines 1 - 20).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Lauer (US 5,528,591), Jones et al. (US 6,925,058 B2), Frisch et al. (US 7,031,258

Application/Control Number: 10/748,949

Art Unit: 2616

B1), Kloth et al. (US 2004/0081108 A1), Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0202155 A1) and Sheth et al.

(US 2005/0163168 A1) are cited to show an apparatus and method for improved fibre channel

oversubscription over transport.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gary Mui whose telephone number is (571) 270-1420. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. 9 - 3 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GM 07.12.2007

RICKY Q. NGO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6